

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

		an unitary mode	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	6047-61248	4096
09/971,952	10/04/2001	Casey Prindiville	6047-61240	
7590 03/22/2002 KLARQUIST SPARKMAN, LLP One World Trade Center			EXAMINER CRUZ, LOURDES C	
Suite 1600 121 S.W. Salr Portland, OR	non Street		ART UNIT 2827	PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 03/22/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	<b></b>				
	09/971,952	PRINDIVILLE ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Lourdes C. Cruz	2827					
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover s	heet with the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailir earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	136(a). In no event, however ly within the statutory minim will apply and will expire SI e. cause the application to b	r, may a reply be timely filed  um of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  ( (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical ecome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	tion.				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04</u>	October 2001 .						
2a)☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ T	his action is non-fina	al.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	vance except for for r <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1	nal matters, prosecution as to the merit 935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	s is				
Disposition of Claims	ion.						
4) Claim(s) 30-32 is/are pending in the application		ion					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awii iidiii considerat	ion.					
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	6) Claim(s) 30-32 is/are rejected.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirem	ent.					
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>04 October 2001</u> is/are	e: a) ☐ accepted or b	objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to t							
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)□ approved	b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	eply to this Office action	on.					
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documer							
2. Certified copies of the priority documer							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International B * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ureau (PCT Rule 1	7.2(a)).					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	stic priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional applic	ation).				
<ul> <li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language p</li> <li>15) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes</li> </ul>	rovisional applicatio stic priority under 35	n has been received. U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5)	nterview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Other:	·				

Application/Control Number: 09/971,952

Art Unit: 2827

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### **Drawings**

Figs. 1A, 3A and 3B are improperly crosshatched. All of the cross hatching patterns should be selected from those shown on page 600-81 of the MPEP based on the material of the part. Also see 35 CFR 184 (h)(3) and MPEP 608.02.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in-

(1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or

(2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).

Claims 30-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Eng et al. (5952611).

#### Eng et al. teaches:

- A substrate 76 having a first surface with a pattern (84,100) of conductors
- The substrate having a second surface including a die attach site
- A wire bond slot 86 forming an opening through the substrate

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/971,952

Art Unit: 2827

A cover member 60, wherein the cover member comprises tape –
 Col 3, lines 30-40--, does not comprise a functional die and covers
 the majority of the opening.

See that "defective" is being considered a label. Labels or functional language such as we have here in "defective" does not structurally distinguish the claim over the prior art which shows a structure that may likewise be labeled defective. See *In re Pearson* 181 USPQ 641, Ex parte Minks 169 USPQ 120, and *In re Swinwhart* 169 USPQ 226.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 30-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Eng et al. (5952611) in view of Vindasius et al. (US 6098278).

## Eng et al. teaches:

- A substrate 76 having a first surface with a pattern 84,100 of conductors
- The substrate having a second surface including a die attach site

Application/Control Number: 09/971,952

Art Unit: 2827

A wire bond slot 86 forming an opening through the substrate

A cover member 60, wherein the cover member comprises tape –
 Col 3, lines 30-40--, does not comprise a functional die and covers
 the majority of the opening.

However, see that Eng et al. fails to mention defective die sites. See that Vindasius discloses (col. 4, lines 18+) defective dies marked with dots 34. It would be obvious to incorporate the teachings of Vindasius to those of Eng et al. in order to provide Eng et al.'s die attach area with a defective die which will provide a defective die attach area since defective dies are common in the manufacturing thereof as suggested by Vindasius.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lourdes C. Cruz whose telephone number is 703-306-5691. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 10:00-6:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David L. Talbott can be reached on 703-305-9883. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7722 for regular communications and 703-308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

Lourdes C. Cruz Examiner Art Unit 2827

Lourdes Cruz March 13, 2002

> Jerome Jackson, Jr. Primary Examiner